George Jarvis (J).. Austin, esq. (TBA) Austin v. Tesla, et. al. Case #3:20-cv-00800 240 E. Channel St. #1354 Stockton, CA 95202, or alternatively 2107 Montauban Ct., Stockton, CA 95210

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GEORGE J. AUSTIN, Plaintiff,

NOTICE OF IFP STATUS PREVIOUSLY GRANTED

v.

Tesla., et al., Defendants.

Case No. 3:20-cv-00800

Case 3:20-cv-00800-EMC Document 108 Filed 02/12/21 Page 2 of 2

George Jarvis (J).. Austin, esq. (TBA)

Austin v. Tesla, et. al. Case #3:20-cv-00800

240 E. Channel St. #1354 Stockton, CA 95202, or alternatively

2107 Montauban Ct., Stockton, CA 95210

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 24(a), page 9 of *United States of Appeals* 

for the Ninth Circuit Office of the Clerk "After Opening Your Appeal: What You Need to Know,"

and the District Court's Previous Order, and Grant, of In Forma Pauperis Status for Plaintiff, and

the fact that no one has revoked that status it appears that the filing, and subsequent fees qualify to

be waived. Plaintiff Pro Se, and Attorney of Record, George Jarvis Austin, has already paid all

respective fees as preventive measure before the deadline, above the threshold [given Forma

Pauperis Status has been granted] in the above named case, (Austin v. Tesla, et. al. 3:20-cv-00800)

hereby requests the Court to clarify:

a. That in fact the Court has waived such fees?

b. If any amount is due the best method of electronic payment?

(s) \_\_George Jarvis (or J. for short) Austin, Esq. (TBA)\_\_\_

Attorney of Record for \_\_Plaintiff (Pro Se)\_\_

Address:\_\_\_240 E. Channel St. #1354, 95202,\_\_\_\_